EXHIBIT "A"



f @ y

Contact Us

Search... C

Survey David A. Laite 29

General Information v Pay Online v Forms v Our Office v Records Search v Titles & Passports v Self-Help v Request Record Historical Records v

Case Summary

Case Number:	A 2301117	Figure 121.					
Court:	Common Pleas Civil	#1.#11.41 * 11 12#					
Case Caption:	SHARMELE MOORE vs. BED BATH & BEYOND INC						
Judge;	TERRY NESTOR						
Filed Date:	03/17/2023						
Case Type:	C310 - OTHER TORT- PERSONAL INJURY		J. 100				
Total Deposits: \$325.00 Credit			624			na	
Total Costs:	otal Costs; \$301.00						
	Case His	story					
Show All Rows		•					
Date Descrip	dion	. <u>.</u>	Notes	Amount	Doc I	made#	
•	ROM GEOFFREY A BELZER		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN	19.00			
05/02/2025 FIEING			SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	18.00			
04/10/2023 BED BA	TH & BEYOND, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	6.00	**************************************		
04/07/2023 NOTIFIC	ATION FORM FILED.		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	1.00	*** **		
DELIVER	ONIC POSTAL RECEIPT RETURNED, COPY OF SUMMONS & CON RED TO BED BATH & BEYOND INC ON 03/23/23, FILED. [CERTIFIEI 0 0984 1933]	PLAINT O MAIL NBR.: 7194	DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED				
03/22/2023 JUDGE /	ASSIGNED CASE ROLLED TO NESTOR/TERRY PRIMARY		•				
03/20/2023 SUMMO	NS ISSUED BY CERTIFIED MAIL TO BED BATH & BEYOND INC		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	4.00	18-11		
03/20/2023 SUMMO	NS ISSUED BY CERTIFIED MAIL TO BED BATH & BEYOND INC		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	4.00	*** ;		
7194 516	ED MAIL SERVICE ISSUED TO BED BATH & BEYOND INC [CERTIF 88 6310 0984 1933]			9.00			
	ED MAIL SERVICE ISSUED TO BED BATH & BEYOND INC [CERTIF 38 6310 0984 1940]	TED MAIL NBR.:		9.00			
03/17/2023 COMPLA	ANT FILED		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	4.00	. *		
03/17/2023 CLASSIF	FICATION FORM FILED.		DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE INFORMATION REDACTION MAY BE NEEDED	1.00	. % .	*	
03/17/2023 INITIAL (CASE DEPOSIT PAID BY DAVID A LAITE			325.00-			
03/17/2023 COPY C	овтя			18,00	<i>!</i> :		
	ered: 13 Total: (13) All Rows ~						

HAMILTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS
1000 Main St, Cincinnati, OH 4520

Hours: 8AM - 4PM Monday - Friday

Site issues? Let us know! Support

E-Filing
FAQ
Policies
Select Language V

© 2022 Hamilton County Clerk of Courts | All Rights Reserved | Privacy Policy

David A. Laite (0047361) Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

SHARMELE MOORE Case No.

3727 Yellowstone Dr.

Cincinnati, OH 45251-1425 (Judge)

Plaintiff,

v.

BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. 650 Liberty Ave. Union, NJ 07083

Also Serve STATUTORY AGENT: CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 4400 Easton Commons Way, Ste. 125 Columbus, OH 43219

And

JOHN DOE Name Unknown Address Unknown,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

FIRST COUNT

- 1. At all times mentioned herein, the Plaintiff Sharmele Moore was and is an individual residing in the City of Cincinnati, Hamilton County, Ohio.
- 2. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendant Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc. (hereinafter Bed), is a corporation doing business in the State of Ohio and having a business outlet at 9775 Colerain Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio.

- 3. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendant Bed was charged with the duty of maintaining, repairing, keeping free from nuisance and hazard the retail facility known as Bed Bath & Beyond situated in Cincinnati, Hamilton County, Ohio.
- 4. On or about July 26, 2022, the Plaintiff was upon the premises of the Defendant Bed with the knowledge, permission and invitation of said Defendants.
- 5. On or about July 26, 2022, the Plaintiff was in an area in which the bedding material was kept.
- 6. At all times mentioned herein, shelving was negligently attached and fell from the shelving striking the Plaintiff.
- 7. On or about July 26, 2022, the Defendant John Doe, whose real name and address is unknown and could not with reasonable diligence be ascertained by the Plaintiff prior to filing this action, was an agent, servant and/or employee of the Defendant Bed. Defendant John Doe was at all such times, acting within the scope and course of her/his employment with the Defendant Bed. Therefore, Defendant Bed is vicariously liable to the Plaintiff under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 8. On or about July 26, 2022, on the premises located at 9775 Colerain Ave., Cincinnati, OH, while acting in the course and scope of his/her employment, Defendant John Doe did negligently perform his/her or job responsibilities by, among other things, placing the shelving in a negligent formation causing it to fall and strike the Plaintiff.
- 9. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant John Doe's negligence, the Plaintiff sustained physical injuries which are, in part, permanent; furthermore, these injuries caused the Plaintiff great pain and suffering in the past and will continue to do so into the foreseeable future.

- 10. As a further direct and proximate result of the Defendant John Doe's negligence, the Plaintiff has incurred medical expenses in the approximate amount of \$2,346.00 and expects to incur further such expenses into the foreseeable future. In addition, the Plaintiff has sustained lost wages in the approximate amount of \$300.00 and expects to incur further such losses into the foreseeable future.
- 11. The injuries, losses and damages suffered by the Plaintiff as described herein were the direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant John Doe and Defendant Bed in failing to discharge the duty of care required of each of them.
- 12. The injuries, losses and damages sustained and suffered by the Plaintiff were the direct and proximate result of the active negligence of Defendants in:
 - a) including a dangerous, hazardous and latent parallel upon the business premises; and/or
 - b) subjecting Plaintiff to a hidden danger and risk of injury known to the Defendants but unknown and not reasonably discoverable to the Plaintiff; and/or
 - c) failing to warn Plaintiff of a hazard known to the Defendants; and/or
 - d) failing to exercise reasonable care for the safety of Plaintiff and other business invitees under the circumstances; and/or
 - e) failing to maintain and keep the business premises in good repair and free from nuisance.

Case 23-13359-VFP Doc 2293 Filed 09/26/23 Entered 09/26/23 19:09:13 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 12

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants in an amount in excess of \$25,000.00 together with his costs, fees, expenses and any other relief to which he may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWN, LIPPERT & LAITE

/s/ David A. Laite

David A. Laite (0047361) 640 Cincinnati Club Building 30 Garfield Place Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 241-1950 (Telephone) (513) 241-4095 (Telecopy) blhe@fuse.net Attorney for Plaintiff

TO THE CLERK:

Issue Service of Summons and Complaint in the within action returnable according to law.

/s/ David A. Laite
David A. Laite (0047361)
Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 23-13359-VFP Doc 2293 Filed 09/26/23 Entered 09/26/23 19:09:13 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 12

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

SHARMELE MOORE,

CASE NO. A 2301117

Plaintiffs,

٧.

BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. and JOHN DOE,

Defendants.

BED BATH & BEYOND, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

Defendant, Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc. ("BBB"), by and through counsel of record, Geoffrey A. Belzer of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP, states the following in response to Plaintiffs' Complaint:

FIRST COUNT

1. At all times mentioned herein, the Plaintiff Sharmele Moore was and is an individual residing in the City of Cincinnati, Hamilton County, Ohio.

ANSWER: BBB has insufficient personal knowledge to admit or deny the allegations stated in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and therefore denies same for want of knowledge.

2. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendant Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc. (hereinafter Bed), is a corporation doing business in the State of Ohio and having a business outlet at 9775 Colerain Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio.

ANSWER: Admitted.

3. At all times mentioned herein, the Defendant Bed was charged with the duty of maintaining, repairing, keeping free from nuisance and hazard the retail facility known as Bed Bath & Beyond situated in Cincinnati, Hamilton County, Ohio.

ANSWER: The allegations stated in Paragraph 3 contain a legal conclusion to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent that a responsive pleading is deemed necessary, BBB states that its legal duties are imposed by law, not pleading, and, to the extent that any allegations stated in Paragraph 3 are contrary to the applicable law, such allegations are denied.

4. On or about July 26, 2022, the Plaintiff was upon the premises of the Defendant Bed with the knowledge, permission and invitation of said Defendants.

ANSWER: BBB has insufficient personal knowledge to admit or deny the allegations stated in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and therefore denies same for want of knowledge.

5. On or about July 26, 2022, the Plaintiff was in an area in which the bedding material was kept.

ANSWER: BBB has insufficient personal knowledge to admit or deny the allegations stated in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and therefore denies same for want of knowledge.

6. At all times mentioned herein, shelving was negligently attached and fell from the shelving striking the Plaintiff.

ANSWER: Denied.

7. On or about July 26, 2022, the Defendant John Doe, whose real name and address is unknown and could not with reasonable diligence be ascertained by the Plaintiff prior to filing this action, was an agent, servant and/or employee of the Defendant Bed. Defendant John Doe was at all such times, acting within the scope and course of her/his employment with the Defendant Bed. Therefore, Defendant Bed is vicariously liable to the Plaintiff under the doctrine of respondent superior.

ANSWER: BBB has insufficient personal knowledge to admit or deny the allegations stated in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint and therefore denies same for want of knowledge.

8. On or about July 26, 2022, on the premises located at 9775 Colerain Ave., Cincinnati, OH, while acting in the course and scope of his/her employment, Defendant John Doe did negligently perform his/her or job responsibilities by, among other things, placing the shelving in a negligent formation causing it to fall and strike the Plaintiff.

ANSWER: Denied.

9. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant John Doe's negligence, the Plaintiff sustained physical injuries which are, in part, permanent; furthermore, these injuries caused the Plaintiff great pain and suffering in the past and will continue to do so into the foreseeable future.

ANSWER: Denied.

10. As a further direct and proximate result of the Defendant John Doe's negligence, the Plaintiff has incurred medical expenses in the approximate amount of \$2,346.00 and expects to incur further such expenses into the foreseeable future. In addition, the Plaintiff has sustained lost wages in the approximate amount of \$300.00 and expects to incur further such losses into the foreseeable future.

ANSWER: Denied.

11. The injuries, losses and damages suffered by the Plaintiff as described herein were the direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant John Doe and Defendant Bed in failing to discharge the duty of care required of each of them.

ANSWER: Denied.

- 12. The injuries, losses and damages sustained and suffered by the Plaintiff were the direct and proximate result of the active negligence of Defendants in:
- a) including a dangerous, hazardous and latent parallel upon the business premises; and/or
- b) subjecting Plaintiff to a hidden danger and risk of injury known to the Defendants but unknown and not reasonably discoverable to the Plaintiff; and/or
 - c) failing to warn Plaintiff of a hazard known to the Defendants; and/or
- d) failing to exercise reasonable care for the safety of Plaintiff and other business invitees under the circumstances; and/or
 - e) failing to maintain and keep the business premises in good repair and free

ANSWER: BBB denies all allegations stated in Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint including, but not limited to, subparts (a) through (e).

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief may be obtained.
- 2. Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties.
- 3. Plaintiff has failed to timely file this Complaint in accordance with the applicable statute of limitations.
 - 4. Plaintiff has failed to obtain proper service of this Defendant.
 - 5. Plaintiff's comparative negligence is greater than 50%, thus barring any recovery.
- 6. Plaintiff's comparative negligence of 50% or less requires that any recovery she makes be reduced proportionally.
 - 7. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages.

Case 23-13359-VFP Doc 2293 Filed 09/26/23 Entered 09/26/23 19:09:13 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 12

8. Pursuant to <u>Robinson v. Bates</u>, 112 Ohio St.3d 17, 2006-Ohio-6362 (2006), and

related case law, Plaintiff's claims for alleged medical expenses, all of which are denied, are

limited to the actual amount of medical bills which constitute full payment.

8. Plaintiff's claims for alleged medical expenses resulting from the subject

occurrence, all of which are denied, are limited to those that are for reasonable and necessary.

9. Plaintiff's claims are barred by intervening and/or superseding cause.

10. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of claim preclusion.

11. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of issue preclusion.

12. BBB reserves the right to add any additional affirmative defenses that may be

necessitated by facts uncovered during the court of discovery.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendant Bed Bath &

Beyond, Inc. pray that this Court dismiss all allegations against it with prejudice and for any other

relief this Court determines to be just and equitable.

Dated: April 10, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Geoffrey A. Belzer

Geoffrey A. Belzer (0071899)

Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker, LLP

55 West Monroe, Suite 3800

Chicago IL 60603

(312) 821-6116

(312) 704-0550 (fax)

Geoffrey.Belzer@wilsonelser.com

Attorney for Defendants Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc. hereby demands a jury of the maximum amount of jurors available by law.

/s/ Geoffrey A. Belzer Geoffrey A. Belzer (0071899)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 10, 2023, I filed the foregoing with the Court's electronic filing service, such that the following received a copy of this pleading:

Attorneys for Plaintiff
David A. Laite (0047361)
Brown, Lippert & Laite
640 Cincinnati Club Building
30 Garfield Place
Cincinnati, OH 45202
blhe@fuse.net

/s/ Geoffrey A. Belzer